

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ur

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,179	10/829,179 04/22/2004		Satoru Ohta	Q81224	5944	
23373	7590	08/11/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE		PLLC IIA AVENUE, N.W.	VU, DAVID			
SUITE 800	ILVAN	IIA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DO	20037	2818			

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ammliant	: NI-	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Comments										
			179	OHTA, SATORU						
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit						
		DAVID V		2818						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (6) period for reply is specified above, the maximum so are to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the st tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>09 June 2006</i> .								
2a)□										
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
5)	- · · ·									
Applicat	ion Papers									
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 April 200</u> . Applicant may not request that any objected that any objected the oath or declaration is objected the specific of the specific or the specific	$\underline{4}$ is/are: a) \square acceptection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	• •					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachmen	nt(s)		_							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate						
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 oer No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC)-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.914

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the, fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 09, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Kelley et al. (US Pat. 6,433,359, hereinafter Kelley) in view of Sirringhaus et al. (US Pat. 6,905,906, hereinafter Sirringhaus).

Regarding claims 6 and 8, Kelley discloses an organic transistor (fig. 1) comprising: a substrate 26; a gate electrode 12 on the substrate 26; a gate insulating layer 14/16 on the substrate 26 and the gate electrode 12; an organic semiconductor layer 18 on a surface of the gate

insulating layer 14/16; a source electrode 22/24 on the organic semiconductor layer 18; and a drain electrode 22/24 on the organic semiconductor layer 18, wherein the surface of the gate insulating layer on which the organic semiconductor layer is formed has a large number of hydroxyl groups uniformly produced.

Kelley fails to disclose the gate insulating layer has a large number of hydroxyl groups. However, Sirringhaus teaches the surface of the gate insulating layer (PVP) has a large number of hydroxyl groups uniformly (col. 9, lines 17-21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Kelley by forming the gate insulating layer as taught by Sirringhaus. As recognized by one skilled in the art, "PVP contains a high density of polar hydroxyl groups which tend to enhance the conductivity and diffusivity of ions through the film" (col. 10, lines 44-46).

Regarding claims 7 and 9, Kelley discloses a mobility of the organic semiconductor layer is 0.5 cm²/Vs or more (TABLE 2, col. 12, lines 20-33).

Regarding the limitation "wherein the surface of the gate insulating layer is a surface-treated layer and the gate insulating layer is irradiated with ultraviolet rays in an ozone atmosphere before forming the surface-treated layer" (claims 6 and 8) and "wherein the surface-treated layer is formed by at least one compound selected from the group consisting of mono- or trichlorosilane compound having a functional group containing 8 or more carbon atoms....." (claim 11), such limitation does not further define the structure as instantly claimed, nor serve to distinguish over Kelley and Sirringhaus. Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, *In re Hirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See

Application/Control Number: 10/829,179 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

also *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685; *In re Luck*, 177 USPQ 523; *In re Fessmann*, 180 USPQ 324; *In re Avery*, 186 USPQ 161; *In re Wertheim*, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); *In re Marosi et al*, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw make clear.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm. If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith S can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR, Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID VU PRIMARY EXAMINER